## FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

HELEN C. VINCENT EDWARD S. VINCENT

Claim No.CU-0339

Decision No.CU-1734

Under the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended

### ORDER and AMENDED PROPOSED DECISION

By Proposed Decision dated April 24, 1968, the Commission denied this claim, in the amount of \$827.26, for the reason that claimants herein had failed to establish the ownership and loss of two bonds issued by the Association of the Religious Community of the Company of Jesus of Bethlehem College of Havana, Cuba. Subsequently, additional supporting evidence was submitted by the claimants herein, and, upon consideration of this matter it is

ORDERED that the Proposed Decision be amended to read as follows:

This claim against the Government of Cuba, under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, was presented by HELEN C. VINCENT and EDWARD S. VINCENT, based on their interests in two bonds issued by the Association of the Religious Community of the Company of Jesus of Bethlehem College of Havana. Claimants have been nationals of the United States at all times pertinent to this claim.

Under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949 [78 Stat. 1110 (1964), 22 U.S.C. §§1643-1643k (1964), as amended, 79 Stat. 988 (1965)], the Commission is given jurisdiction over claims of nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba. Section 503(a) of the Act provides that the Commission shall receive and determine in accordance with applicable substantive law, including international law, the amount and

validity of claims by nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba arising since January 1, 1959 for

losses resulting from the nationalization, expropriation, intervention or other taking of, or special measures directed against, property including any rights or interests therein owned, wholly or partially, directly or indirectly at the time by nationals of the United States.

#### Section 502(3) of the Act provides

The term 'property' means any property, right or interest including any leasehold interest, and debts owed by the Government of Cuba or by enterprises which have been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba and debts which are a charge on property which has been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba.

On the basis of evidence of record, the Commission finds that claimants are, and since prior to May 3, 1961, have been the joint owners of two bonds, in the original face amount of \$1,000.00 each, issued by the Association of the Religious Community of the Company of Jesus of Bethlehem College of Havana, and known as 5-1/4% First Mortgage Six Year Gold Bonds, due February 1, 1934, under an Agreement of May 22, 1928, with the Bankers Trust Company, Trustee. The bonds were secured by all of the Association's property in Cuba. The bonds in question are evidenced by Deposit Receipt Nos. M-794 and M-795.

The record shows that the properties of the College were intervened by the Government of Cuba on May 3, 1961, by Resolution No. 4352 of the Directora Provincial de Educacion de la Habana (Provincial Educational Directors Office of Havana). Therefore, claimants are entitled to file this claim based upon the bonds in question which represent a debt which was a charge upon intervened property as defined in Section 502(3) of the Act. (See Claim of Gustavus Basch, Claim No. CU-0972.)

The record reflects that on April 4, 1933, Cuba declared a moratorium on mortgage indebtedness, which was later extended to June 1942. On December 5, 1939, the maturity of the bonds was extended by the Association to February 1, 1944, and interest was reduced to 1-1/2% beginning February 1, 1939. On June 4, 1940, a new Cuban Constitution was adopted, having certain

Transitory Provisions which extended the maturity date on mortgage indebtedness in excess of \$800,000 to June 30,1970 and provided for interest at 1%, and amortization by certain annual installments.

On June 1, 1942, a Procedure for Deposit was entered into by the Association with Mississippi Valley Trust Company (now the Mercantile Trust Company) as Agent, and the bondholders. Those bondholders depositing their bonds under this Procedure received registered Deposit Receipts entitling them to payment of principal and interest according to the applicable schedule of the 1940 Transitory Provisions, without subsequent presentation of the bonds. The record shows that the last principal payment made was that due on June 30, 1958, leaving the principal due on a \$1,000.00 bond as \$449.03; and the last interest payment made was that due on February 1, 1959. Thereafter, the Trustee declared the principal due and payable in accordance with the provisions of the Agreement of 1928.

The Commission finds that the amount of the unpaid indebtedness on claimants' bonds on May 3, 1961, the date of loss, was \$918.34, including the principal amounts of \$898.06 and the interest due on May 3, 1961, in the amount of \$20.28.

It will be noted that the total amount of loss found herein is in excess of the amount asserted by claimants. However, in determining the amount of loss sustained, the Commission is not bound by any lesser or greater amounts which may be asserted by claimants as the extent thereof.

The Commission has decided that in certification of losses on claims determined pursuant to Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, interest should be included at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of loss to the date of settlement. (See Claim of Lisle Corporation, Claim No. CU-0644.)

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the amount of loss sustained by claimants shall be increased by interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from May 3, 1961, the date of loss, to the date on which provisions are made for settlement thereof.

#### CERTIFICATION OF LOSS

The Commission certifies that HELEN C. VINCENT and EDWARD S. VINCENT suffered a loss, as a result of actions of the Government of Cuba, within the scope of Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, in the amount of Nine Hundred Eighteen Dollars and Thirty-Four Cents (\$918.34) with interest thereon at 6% per annum from May 3, 1961, to the date of settlement.

Dated at Washington, D. C., and entered as the Amended Proposed Decision of the Commission

JUN 13 1968

• . . . •

Leonard v. B. Mutton

Leonard v. B. Sutton. The rean

Theodore Jaffe, Commissioner

The statute <u>does not provide for the payment of claims</u> against the Government of Cuba. Provision is only made for the determination by the Commission of the validity and amounts of such claims. Section 501 of the statute specifically precludes any authorization for appropriations for payment of these claims. The Commission is required to certify its findings to the Secretary of State for possible use in future negotiations with the Government of Cuba.

NOTICE: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, if no objections are filed within 15 days after service or receipt of notice of this Amended Proposed Decision, the decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after such service or receipt of notice, unless the Commission otherwise orders. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. 531.5(e) and (g) as amended, 32 Fed. Reg. 412-13 (1967).)

NOTICE TO TREASURY: The above-referenced securities may not have been submitted to the Commission or if submitted, may have been returned; accordingly, no payment should be made until claimants establish retention of the securities or the loss here certified.

ويحمون ويواري جوا

# FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20579

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF

1

HELEN C. VINCENT EDWARD S. VINCENT

Claim No.CU - 0339

Decision No.CU 1734

Under the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended

#### PROPOSED DECISION

This claim against the Government of Cuba, filed under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended, in the amount of \$827.26, was presented by HELEN C. VINCENT and EDWARD S. VINCENT and is based upon the asserted loss of their interests in certain Six Year Gold Bonds of the Association of the Religious Community of the Company of Jesus of Bethlehem College of Havana, Cuba. Claimants state that they have been nationals of the United States since birth, but claimants have submitted no evidence to establish that they were born in the United States.

Under Title V of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949

[78 Stat. 1110 (1964) 22 U.S.C. §§1643-1643k (1964), as amended, 79 Stat.

988 (1965)], the Commission is given jurisdiction over claims of nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba. Section 503(a) of the Act provides that the Commission shall receive and determine in accordance with applicable substantive law, including international law, the amount and validity of claims by nationals of the United States against the Government of Cuba arising since January 1, 1959 for

losses resulting from the nationalization, expropriation, intervention or other taking of , or special measures directed against, property including any rights or interests therein owned wholly or partially, directly or indirectly at the time by nationals of the United States. Section 502(3) of the Act provides:

The term 'property' means any property, right, or interest including any leasehold interest, and debts owed by the Government of Cuba or by enterprises which have been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba and debts which are a charge on property which has been nationalized, expropriated, intervened, or taken by the Government of Cuba.

Section 504 of the Act provides, as to ownership of claims, that

(a) A claim shall not be considered under section 503(a) of this title unless the property on which the claim was based was owned wholly or partially, directly or indirectly by a national of the United States on the date of the loss and if considered shall be considered only to the extent the claim has been held by one or more nationals of the United States continuously thereafter until the date of filing with the Commission.

The Regulations of the Commission provide:

The claimant shall be the moving party and shall have the burden of proof on all issues involved in the determination of his claim. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. §531.6(d) (Supp. 1967).)

Claimants, HELEN C. VINCENT and EDWARD S. VINCENT, assert that they were the owners of certain Six Year Gold Bonds of the Religious Community of the Company of Jesus of Bethlehem College of Havana, Cuba. By Commission letter of July 12, 1966, claimants were advised as to the type of evidence proper for submission to establish this claim under the provisions of the Act. Thereafter, by letters of October 14, 1966, November 14 and 29, 1966, and January 26, 1968, the Commission made additional suggestions to claimants concerning the submission of supporting evidence in this matter. However, no evidence in response to this correspondence has been received to date.

On March 1, 1968, claimants were invited to submit any evidence available to them with within 45 days from that date, and they were informed, that, absent such evidence, it might become necessary to determine the claim on the basis of the existing record. No evidence has since been submitted.

The Commission finds that claimants have not met the burden of proof in that they have failed to establish ownership of right's and interests in property which was nationalized, expropriated or otherwise taken by the Government of Cuba. Accordingly, this claim is denied. The Commission deems it unnecessary to make determinations with respect to other elements of the claim.

Dated at Washington, D.C., and entered as the Proposed Decision of the Commission

APR 24 1968

Leonard y. B. Not the

Leonard v. B. Sutten, Cha rman

Theodoro Jaffe. Comissiones

NOTICE: Pursuant to the Regulations of the Commission, if no objections are filed within 15 days after service or receipt of notice of this Proposed Decision, the decision will be entered as the Final Decision of the Commission upon the expiration of 30 days after such service or receipt of notice, unless the Commission otherwise orders. (FCSC Reg., 45 C.F.R. 531.5(e) and (g) as amended, 32 Fed. Reg. 412-13 (1967).)